

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

JAMES WEISS MD 3100 TIMMONS LANE SUITE 250 HOUSTON TX 77027

Respondent Name

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

EMPLOYERS INSURANCE CO OF WAUSAU

Box Number 01

MFDR Tracking Number

MFDR Date Received

M4-11-2643-01

APRIL 4, 2011

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Carrier refuses to pay full amount due for services rendered even after a request for reconsideration was submitted."

Amount in Dispute: \$865.30

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Denied CPT 95900 (6 units) Nerve conduction...Per the Medicare Correct Coding Guidelines, CPT 95900 is not payable when performed with CPT 95903 as CPT 95903 is the more extensive procedure...CPT 95903 (2 units) as this procedure has exceeded the maximum allowed units of service. (U899). Per CPT, the maximum number of studies for motor is 4. CPT 95934 (2 unit) H-reflex, amplitude and latency study...this testing was done on upper extremity, not the lower and was appropriately denied as this charge was not reflected in the report as one of the procedures performed. HCPCS Code A4556 is a bundled or noncovered procedure based on Medicare guidelines; no separate payment allowed. (B291)."

Response Submitted By: Liberty Mutual Insurance

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 16, 2010	CPT Code 99202	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CPT Code 95861 (X1)	\$0.54	\$0.00
	CPT Code 95900(X6)	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CPT Code 95904 (X6)	\$1.26	\$0.00
	CPT Code 95903 (X6)	\$193.30	\$192.59
	CPT Code 95934 (X2)	\$152.54	\$0.00

	HCPCS Code A4566	\$25.00	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$865.30	\$192.59

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, 33 Texas Register 364, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits

- 18-U301-This item was reviewed on a previously submitted bill, or on this bill, with notification of decision issued to payor or provider (Duplicate Billed).
- D19-X124-Payment for this charge is not recommended without medical records, for reconsideration please submit appeal with EOP and medical records to support service.
- 42-Z710-The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
- 97-X212-This procedure is included in another procedure performed on this date.
- B12-X133-This charge was not reflected in the report as one of the procedure or services performed.
- D20-B291-This is a bundled or non covered procedure based on Medicare guidelines; no separate payment allowed.
- 17-U899-Procedure has exceeded the maximum allowed units of service.
- X598-Claim has been re-evaluated based on additional documentation submitted; no additional payment due.
- Z989-The amount previously paid was less than is due. The current recommended amount is the result of supplemental payment.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Does the documentation support number of units billed for CPT code 95903?
- 2. Does the documentation support billing of CPT code 95934?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for CPT codes 99202, 95861, 95903 and 95904?
- 4. Is the allowance for CPT code 95900 included in the allowance of CPT code 95903?
- 5. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for HCPCS code A4566?

Findings

- 1. The respondent denied reimbursement for two units of CPT code 95903 based upon reason codes "17 and U899." According to the submitted nerve conduction study report the requestor performed testing on six nerves; therefore, the respondent's denial is not supported.
- 2. The respondent denied reimbursement for two units of CPT code 95934 based upon reason codes "B12 and X133." The requestor did not submit report to support billing of two H-reflex tests; therefore, the respondent's denial is supported
- 3. The issue in dispute is whether the requestor is due additional reimbursement for the disputed services.
 - Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(c)(1)(2), "To determine the MAR for professional services, system participants shall apply the Medicare payment policies with minimal modifications.
 - (1) For service categories of Evaluation & Management, General Medicine, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Radiology, Pathology, Anesthesia, and Surgery when performed in an office setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$52.83. For Surgery when performed in a facility setting, the established conversion factor to be applied is \$66.32.
 - (2) The conversion factors listed in paragraph (1) of this subsection shall be the conversion factors for calendar year 2008. Subsequent year's conversion factors shall be determined by applying the annual percentage adjustment of the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) to the previous year's conversion factors, and shall be effective January 1st of the new calendar year. The following hypothetical example illustrates this annual adjustment activity if the Division had been using this MEI annual percentage adjustment: The 2006 Division

conversion factor of \$50.83 (with the exception of surgery) would have been multiplied by the 2007 MEI annual percentage increase of 2.1 percent, resulting in the \$51.90 (with the exception of surgery) Division conversion factor in 2007."

To determine the MAR the following formula is used: (DWC Conversion Factor/Medicare Conversion Factor) X Participating Amount = Maximum Allowable Reimbursement (MAR).

The 2010 DWC conversion factor for this service is 54.32.

The Medicare Conversion Factor is 36.8729

Review of Box 32 on the CMS-1500 the services were rendered in zip code 77027, which is located in Houston, Texas. Therefore, the Medicare participating amount will be based on the reimbursement for Houston, Texas.

Using the above formula, the Division finds the following:

Code	Calculation for Locality Houston	Maximum Allowable	Carrier Paid	Due
99202	(54.32/36.8729) x \$69.57 for 1 Unit	\$102.49	\$102.08	\$0.00
95861	(54.32/36.8729) x \$123.95 for 1 Unit	\$182.59	\$182.59	\$0.00
95903	(54.32/36.8729) x \$65.02 for 6 Units	\$574.71	\$383.12	\$192.59
95904	(54.32/36.8729) x \$48.91 for 6 Units	\$432.32	\$432.36	\$0.00

4. According to the Table of Disputed services, the requestor indicated that the insurance carrier paid \$492.66 for CPT code 95900. According to the submitted explanation of benefits and the respondent's position summary the respondent paid \$0.00 based upon reason codes "97 and X212."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5), states "'Medicare payment policies' when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."

Per The National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual "The NCCI edit with column one CPT code 95903 (Motor nerve conduction studies with F-wave study, each nerve) and column two CPT code 95900 (Motor nerve conduction studies without F-wave study, each nerve) is often bypassed by utilizing modifier 59. Use of modifier 59 with the column two CPT code 95900 of this NCCI edit is appropriate only if the two procedures are performed on different nerves or at separate patient encounters." The requestor did not append modifier 59 to indicate that CPT code 95900 was a separate procedure; therefore, reimbursement is not recommended.

5. The requestor is seeking dispute resolution for HCPCS code A4556. According to Medicare policy this code is a bundled code; therefore, reimbursement cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that reimbursement is due for the specified services. As a result, the amount ordered is \$192.59.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$192.59 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

		10/10/2013	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.